The Addition of Hydrogen Chloride and Hydrobromic Acid to 2,3-Dideuterionorbornene

J. K. Stille,^{1a} Fred M. Sonnenberg,^{1b,c} and Thomas H. Kinstle

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52240, and the Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Received June 17, 1966

Abstract: The synthesis of 2,3-dideuterionorbornene and the ionic addition of hydrogen halides to it have been carried out. From the reaction of hydrogen chloride, about 50% of the product results from exo-cis addition without rearrangement. Of the portion of the product formed as a consequence of rearrangement, 38% arises from a 6,2hydride shift and 12% from a Wagner-Merrwein rearrangement. In the reaction with hydrobromic acid, the percentages are 40, 46, and 14, respectively. Dehydrohalogenation of the exo- and endo-2-halonorbornanes occurs nearly exclusively by abstraction of the exo-vicinal proton by base. The mechanistic interpretation of these results is discussed.

The additions of hydrogen halides to the double bond of α,β -unsaturated acids,² cyclopentenes,³ and cyclohexenes^{4,5} have been found, under polar conditions, to give the trans addition product. Recently, however, in the case of certain rigid cyclic olefins such as acenaphthalene,⁶ indene,⁷ and norbornene,⁸ the polar additions of deuterium bromide and deuteriobromic acid have been found to occur to give a large percentage of the cis addition products. Yet it is interesting to note that base dehydrohalogenation of the halogenated adduct of acenaphthalene undergoes a trans elimination, while the norbornyl adduct undergoes a *cis* elimination. These elimination paths were employed, in fact, to substantiate the cis mode of addition of deuterium bromide to acenaphthalene and deuteriobromic acid to norbornene. The behavior of these systems presents a mechanistic dichotomy which suggests that there is possibly something unique to the norbornyl system that dictates ionic *cis* addition and *cis* elimination. Thus, an investigation of the addition of hydrogen chloride and hydrobromic acid under polar conditions to 2,3-dideuterionorbornene and the base elimination reactions of the product halides was carried out. The olefin, 2,3-dideuterionorbornene (4), alleviates some of the difficulties encountered in the addition of deuteriobromic acid to norbornene,⁸ because the product formed from a 6,2hydride shift and the Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement as well as from an exo-cis addition could be detected and quantitatively determined by nmr.

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of 2,3-dideuterionorbornene (4) was accomplished by treating endo-2-norborneol-2,3,3-d₃ (1)⁹ with triphenylphosphine and bromine, ¹⁰ pyrolyzing

(1) (a) To whom inquiries should be addressed: Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa. (b) This paper is taken in part from the Ph.D. dissertation of F. M. Sonnenberg. (c) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Trainee, 1964–1966.

(2) W. R. Vaughan, R. L. Craven, R. Q. Little, and A. C. Schoentha-ler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 1994 (1955).

- (3) G. S. Hammond and C. H. Collins, *ibid.*, 82, 4323 (1960).
 (4) G. S. Hammond and T. D. Newitt, *ibid.*, 76, 121 (1954).
- (5) R. C. Fahey and R. A. Smith, ibid., 86, 5035 (1964). (6) M. J. S. Dewar and R. C. Fahey, ibid., 85, 2245 (1963).

(7) M. J. S. Dewar and R. C. Fahey, ibid., 85, 2248 (1963).

- (8) H. Kwart and J. L. Nyce, ibid., 86, 2601 (1964)
- (9) J. K. Stille and F. M. Sonnenberg, ibid., 88, 4915 (1966).

(10) We wish to thank J. P. Schaefer (University of Arizona, Tuscon, Ariz.) for sending us this procedure prior to publication; see J. P. Schaefer and D. S. Weinberg, J. Org. Chem., 30, 2635 (1965).

the intermediate, endo-2-norbornoxytriphenylphosphonium bromide-2,3,3- d_3 (2), to obtain exo-2-bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3), and dehydrobrominating this product with *t*-hexoxide in *t*-hexyl alcohol to afford **4**.



The isotopic purities of compounds 1, 3, and 4 were determined by quantitative nmr analysis. On two different runs compounds 1, 3, and 4 averaged 96.2, 90.0, and 91.8% purity, respectively. Mass spectral analysis of **4** was $8.1\% d_3$, $89.3\% d_2$, $2.4\% d_1$, and $0.1\% d_0$. The $8.1\% d_3$ (5) can be best explained by



the following considerations: reduction¹¹ of 3,3-dideuterionorcamphor with lithium aluminum deuteride yields about 9% exo-2-norborneol-2,3,3- d_3 (6). It has been found that treatment of optically active exo-2norborneol with triphenylphosphine and bromine¹² provides exo-2-bromonorbornane which has undergone about 80% racemization.

The ionic addition of hydrogen chloride¹³ to 2,3-dideuterionorbornene (4) was carried out at -78° for 1 hr. The ratio of exo- to endo-chloride was 98.5:1.5.9 About 49.9% of the addition product 8 was a result

- (12) J. P. Schaefer and D. S. Weinberg, ibid., 30, 2639 (1965).
- (13) H. Kwart and R. K. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 5008 (1956).

⁽¹¹⁾ C. F. Wilcox, M. Sexton, and M. F. Wilcox, ibid., 28, 1079 (1963).

of skeletal rearrangements, as determined by nmr analysis and detected by the appearance of a proton instead of a deuterium atom on the carbon bearing the chloride.



The other 50.1%, 7, had the chloride in the *exo*-2-position with an *endo*-deuterium geminal.

The polar addition of hydrobromic acid⁸ to 2,3dideuterionorbornene (4) was carried out at 60° for 3 hr to afford 59.4% of 10, as a consequence of rearrangement, and 40.6% of 9 as determined by nmr. The ratio of *endo*- to *exo*-bromide by vpc analysis was 2.6:97.4.

The geometry of the deuterium in the three position of 7 or 9, exo or endo, could not be ascertained with certainty from the nmr spectra. From a consideration of the reports of exo and exo-cis additions¹⁴⁻¹⁸ to norbornene, a preliminary assumption was made in assigning the proton in the exo-three position (11, 12). The geometry of the deuterium could be determined through a hydrogen halide or deuterium halide elimina-



tion with base, if the proton (deuteron) *exo* or *endo* which is removed in this reaction could be determined.

Bimolecular *cis* elimination reactions usually are only observed in cyclic systems because the rotation of the leaving group about the carbon atom is restricted. In the norbornyl system a perfect *trans* elimination is impossible due to the lack of coplanarity (*ca.* 120° dihedral angle) of the leaving groups. In the light of this evidence, it was recently postulated⁸ that the product obtained from the reaction of *endo*-2-bromonorbornane-*exo*-3- d_1 with *t*-hexoxide in *t*-hexyl alcohol would be 2-deuterionorbornene (**13**). This prediction



was based both on the preference for *cis* elimination in the norbornyl system and the added reenforcement of a primary deuterium isotopic effect which would be expected to outweigh the abstraction of the *exo* proton.

(14) H. A. Bruson and T. W. Riener, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 67, 723 (1945).
(15) L. Schmerling, U. S. Patent 2,480,267; Chem. Abstr., 44, 1136 (1950).

(16) T. G. Traylor and A. W. Baker, *Tetrahedron Letters*, No. 19, 14 (1959).

(17) C. W. Bird, R. C. Cookson, J. Hudee, and R. O. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., 410 (1963).

(18) J. Meinwald, Y. C. Meinwald, and T. N. Baker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2513 (1963).

We felt, therefore, that it would be of interest to determine whether *cis* elimination would indeed occur over *trans* elimination by preparing *endo*-2-chloronorbornane-*exo*-2,3- d_2 (15) and performing the base elimination with *t*-hexoxide in *t*-hexyl alcohol.

The model compound, **15**, was prepared from norcamphor by known methods.^{19,20} The addition of deuterium to the double bond of norbornene in the presence of a heterogeneous catalyst is known to add



 $exo-cis.^{21}$ Moreover, whether one or two substituents is attached to the double bond of norbornene, hydrogenation occurs $exo-cis.^{22,23}$

The addition of deuterium to 2-chloronorbornene (14) to form 15, in an ether solvent with or without added sodium acetate or other solvents, is accompanied by deuteriumolysis resulting in the formation of norbornane- d_3 (16), the major product, as well as rearranged chlorides 17 and 8. The sample of norbornyl chloride



employed for the elimination reaction contained 84%15, 6% 17, and 10% 8, as determined by integration of the nmr spectrum.

Dehydrochlorination of the mixture of 15, 17, and 8 with *t*-hexoxide in *t*-hexyl alcohol²⁴ yielded norbornene and nortricyclene products in a ratio of 89:11 as determined by vpc analysis. It was found that under the same conditions, exo-2-chloronorbornane affords



- (19) R. L. Bixler and C. Niemann, J. Org. Chem., 23, 742 (1958).
- (20) N. A. LeBel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 623 (1960).
- (21) D. A. Arnold, D. J. Trecker, and E. B. Whipple, *ibid.*, 87, 2596 (1965).
- (22) R. A. Finnegan and R. S. McNees, J. Org. Chem., 29, 3234 (1964).
- (23) K. Alder and W. Roth, Ber., 87, 161 (1954).

(24) H. Kwart, T. Takeshita, and J. L. Nyce, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 2606 (1964).

only norbornene; thus the nortricyclene (18) must only be forming from the *endo*-chloride.

The percentages of **13** and **4** were found to be 86:14, respectively. Thus, *trans* elimination is favored over *endo-cis* by a factor of about 6.0.

The formation of 16 during deuteration can be rationalized by the following sequence of reactions. That 16 is not formed from 15 either by an elimination



of deuterium chloride to give 13 followed by deuteration or by deuteriumolysis of 15 was demonstrated by the fact that 15 was found to be unreactive under the reaction conditions.

Even though the primary deuterium isotope effect $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} \simeq 7.5)$ favors the removal of the *endo*-2-proton by base from 15, steric considerations tend to make this mode of attack less likely. Of primary importance in E2 elimination reactions is the ease of removal of the



*exo-3-*deuterium atom, and thus *trans* elimination is forced. This result was not unexpected in light of recent work²⁵ on various 2,3-dihalonorbornanes. Even though it is well known that bromide is a better leaving group than chloride, it was found that dehydrohalogenation of **19a** and **b** gave 2-bromonorbornene



and 2-chloronorbornene, respectively.

Examination ^{26,27} of the relative rates of elimination of 2,3-halonorbornenes showed that *exo-cis* elimination was faster than *trans*. From our results, it is evident that the dehydrohalogenation of halonorbornanes would fall in the order *exo-cis* > *trans* > *endo-cis*, and of utmost importance is the fact that it is the *exo* proton which is preferentially removed by base.

Thus, if 100% exo-cis elimination occurred with chloride containing 50.1% 11, the product obtained

should be 50.1% 4. Dehydrochlorination of the mixture of 7 and 8 with *t*-hexoxide in *t*-hexyl alcohol affords only norbornene (no nortricyclene), 48.9% of which is 4 as determined by nmr. Therefore, exo-cis



elimination occurs to the extent of 97.6%. Dehydrobromination of 9 and 10 affords olefin, 38.5% of which is 4; thus, *exo-cis* elimination occurs to the extent of 94.8%. The addition of deuteriobromic acid to norbornene had been reported to proceed with 46% *exo-cis* addition.⁸

Since it has been shown clearly that protonation occurs from the *exo* side, the first three products expected from the ionic addition of hydrogen halides to 2,3-dideuterionorbornene (4) are those from an *exo-cis* addition, **20**, a Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement, **21**, and a 6,2-hydride shift, **22** (Figure 1).

By nmr analysis it was possible to determine the amount of Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement, 21, and 6,2-hydride shift, 22. From the addition of hydrogen chloride to 4, 12.0% of the product 21 was formed via a Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement, while 37.9% of the product 22 was formed via a 6,2-hydride shift. Addition of hydrobromic acid resulted in 13.8% of 21 and 45.6% of 22. Thus, a 6,2-hydride shift accounts for about three-fourths of the deuterium scramblings while a Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement accounts for one-fourth. This finding is quite comparable to the results⁹ obtained from the reaction of endo-2-norborneol-2,3,3-d₃ with thionyl chloride in ether, pyridine, dioxane, or ether with added pyridine.

Since the nonclassical carbonium ion should give equal amounts of 20 and 21, the amount of 20 obtained from some other mechanism such as a concerted *cis* addition would be 38.1% and 26.8% from hydrogen chloride and hydrobromic acid addition to 4, respectively.

It appears quite striking that the hydrogen chloride addition gives about 10% more *exo-cis* addition than does hydrobromic acid; however, this is probably due to different reaction conditions.

Experimental Section²⁸

endo-2-Norbornoxytriphenylphosphonium Bromide-2,3,3- d_3 (2).¹⁰ From 19.0 g (0.165 mole) of *endo*-2-norborneol-2,3,3- d_3 ,⁹ 43.4 g (0.165 mole) of triphenylphosphine in 140 ml of triglyme, and 26.6 g (0.165 mole) of bromine in 40 ml of triglyme was obtained, after work-up in a drybox, 81.4 g (108%) of a white solid containing some absorbed triglyme.

Decomposition of *endo*-2-Norbornoxytriphenylphosphonium Bromide-2,3,3,- d_3 (2).¹⁰ Pyrolysis of dry 2 at 40–120° (0.1 mm) afforded, after redistillation, 17.7 g (60.3%) of *exo*-2-bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3), bp 78–80° (30 mm), n^{19} D 1.5115 (lit.¹⁰ bp 80° (28 mm), n^{24} D 1.5149). Nmr analysis showed the incorporation of

⁽²⁵⁾ N. A. LeBel, P. D. Beirne, and P. M. Subramanian, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 4144 (1964).

⁽²⁶⁾ S. J. Cristol and E. R. Hoegger, *ibid.*, 79, 3438 (1957).
(27) N. A. LeBel, P. D. Beirne, E. R. Karger, J. C. Powers, and P. M. Subramanian, *ibid.*, 85, 3199 (1963).

⁽²⁸⁾ Gas chromatographic analyses were carried out with the F & M Model 500 instrument using either a 10% diisodecyl phthalate (8 ft \times 0.25 in.) or a 5% Zonyl E-7 (40 ft \times 0.25 in.) column. A Varian A-60 was used for nmr spectra with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard and carbon tetrachloride as the solvent. Infrared analyses were run on a Perkin-Elmer Infracord.

2.664 and 2.721 deuterium atoms per molecule (88.8 and 90.7% purity) on different runs. Vpc analysis using a 5% Zonyl E-7 (40 ft \times 0.25 in.) column at 75° and a helium flow of 100 cc/min showed that only *exo*-2-bromonorbornane was present.

Dehydrobromination of exo-2-Bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3). The dehydrobromination was carried out with t-hexoxide as described⁸ with slight modification. After formation of t-hexoxide from 51.0 g (0.5 mole) of t-hexyl alcohol and 3.0 g (0.075 g-atoni) of potassium, 8.9 g (0.05 mole) of exo-2-bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3) was added. The solution was heated under reflux for 24 hr while sweeping continuously with nitrogen and collecting the product in a trap cooled in a Dry Ice-isopropyl alcohol bath. The contents of the trap were distilled after adding small amounts of potassium, and the cut from 80 to 105° was collected and redistilled several times until 2,3-dideuterionorbornene solidified in the receiver. There was recovered 2.18 g (45.6%) of solid after sublimation, bp 84-96°. Nmr analysis showed the presence of 1.848 atoms of deuterium per molecule for 4 (92.4% purity). Mass spectral analysis of 4 showed the following: 8.1% d_3 , 89.3% d_2 , 2.4% d_1 , and 0.1% d_0 . On another run, nmr indicated 2.736 atoms of deuterium per molecule for 4 (91.2% purity). Vpc analysis using a 10% diisodecyl phthalate (8 ft \times 0.25 in.) column at 45° and a helium flow of 67 cc/min showed that only norbornene was present.

Polar Addition of Hydrogen Chloride to 2,3-Dideuterionorbornene (4). The addition of dry hydrogen chloride was carried out over a period of 1 hr in pentane at -78° as described.¹³ From 1.44 g (0.015 mole) of 4 in 15 ml of pentane was obtained 1.68 g (84.3%) of dideuterio-*exo*-2-chloronorbornane. Integration of the nmr spectrum was carried out to obtain the amount of *exo*-*cis*, Wagner-Meerwein, and 6.2-hydride product from the relative ratios of hydrogens on position 2,1 plus 4, and the remaining positions.²⁹ Retention times of 47 min for the *endo* epimer and 50 min for the *exo* epimer were observed using a 5% Zonyl E-7 (40 ft \times 0.25 in.) column at 95° and a helium flow of 86 cc/min; the ratio of *endo*-to *exo*-chloride was 1.5:98.5.

Dehydrochlorination of Dideuterio-exo-2-chloronorbornane. The same procedure as described for the elimination reaction of exo-2-bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3) was followed. From 10.2 g (0.1 mole) of *t*-hexyl alcohol, 0.6 g (0.015 g-atom) of potassium, and 1.32 g (0.1 mole) of dideuterio-exo-2-chloronorbornane was obtained 0.382 g (39.8%) of dideuterionorborene after sublimation. Integration of the appropriate signals of the nmr spectrum showed that 48.9% of the product was 2,3-dideuterionorbornene (4).²⁹ Vpc analysis at 45° showed the presence of no nortricyclene.

Polar Addition of Hydrobromic Acid to 2,3-Dideuterionorbornene (4). The addition of 48% hydrobromic acid was carried out at 60° for 3 hr as described.⁸ To 0.96 g (0.1 mole) of 2,3-dideuterionorbornene (4) and 3.3 g (0.041 mole) of hydrobromic acid was obtained 1.26 g (71.3%) of dideuterio-*exo*-2-bromonorbornane. Nmr integration was carried out as described under the polar addition of hydrogen chloride to 2,3-dideuterionorbornane (4).²⁹ Retention times of 123 min for the *endo* epimer and 138 min for the *exo* epimer was observed using a 5% Zonyl E-7 (40 ft \times 0.25 in.) column at 80° and a helium flow of 100 cc/min. The ratio was 2.6:97.4, respectively.

Dehydrobromination of Dideuterio-exo-**2-Bromonorbornane.** The same procedure as described for the elimination reaction of exo-2-bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3) was followed. From 10.2 g



Figure 1.

(0.1 mole) of *t*-hexyl alcohol, 0.6 g (0.015 g-atom) of potassium, and 1.018 g (0.0063 mole) of dideuterio-*exo*-2-bromonorbornane was obtained 0.203 g (33.6%) of dideuterionorbornane after sublimation. Integration of the appropriate signals of the nmr spectrum showed that 38.5% of the product was 2,3-dideuterionorborene (4).²⁹ Vpc analysis at 45° showed only norborene. No nortricycylene was present.

endo-2-Chloronorbornane-exo-2,3- d_2 (15). To a solution of 10.3 g (0.08 mole) of 2-chloronorbornene (14)^{19,20} in 60 ml of ether was added 0.4 g of platinum oxide. Deuterium was allowed to enter, and the uptake was 165% after 1 day. Filtration, removal of the ether, and distillation at bp 106–110° (lit.³⁰ bp 105.7°) afforded 3.06 g (38.7%) of norbornane- d_3 after sublimation. Vacuum distillation of the residue yielded 1.92 g (18.1%) of a low-melting solid, bp 54–56° (16 mm) (lit.³¹ bp 51–53° (17 mm)). Integration of the appropriate signals of the nmr spectrum showed that 84% was 15, 6% was 17, and 10% was 8.²⁹ Vpc analyses on different runs indicated that the ratio of *endo*- to *exo*-2-chloronorbornane was 7.9–4.2:92.1–95.8 in ether, using a 5% Zonyl E-7 (40 ft × 0.25 in.) column at 75° and a helium flow of 100 ml/min. The retention times were 9 and 97 min, respectively. Similar results were obtained with added sodium acetate to the hydrogenation mixture.

Dehydrochlorination of *endo*-2-Chloronorbornane-exo-2,3- d_2 . The same procedure as described for the elimination reaction of exo-2-bromonorbornane-2,3,3- d_3 (3) was followed. From 10.2 g (0.1 mole) of *t*-hexyl alcohol, 0.6 g (0.015 g-atom) of potassium, and 1.46 g (0.011 mole) of 15 was obtained 0.231 g (21.7%) after sublimation. Vpc analysis using a 10% diisodecyl phthalate (8 ft \times 0.25 in.) column at 45° and a helium flow of 67 cc/min showed the ratio of norbornene to nortricyclene to be 89:11. The retention times were 15 and 19 min, respectively. Integration of the appropriate signals of the nmr spectrum showed the percentage of **8** to **13** to be 86:14.²⁹

⁽²⁹⁾ The high ratio of 6,2-hydride shift (6,2-H) vs. Wagner-Meerwein (W-M) product represents a minimum value, since all the possible products from combinations of successive W-M rearrangements and 6,2-H are the same whether or not a W-M or a 6,2-H takes place first. Therefore the percentages represent the ratio of 6,2-H plus products from other combinations to W-M plus products from other combinations. Complete equilibration, however, involving only two successive 6,2-H and W-M steps is not observed in the solvolysis of norbornyl acetate; see J. D. Roberts, C. C. Lee, and W. H. Saunders, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 4801 (1954).

⁽³⁰⁾ P. von R. Schleyer, ibid., 80, 1700 (1958).

⁽³¹⁾ J. D. Roberts, W. Bennett, and R. Armstrong, *ibid.*, 72, 3329 (1950).